

Backreaction for Einstein-Rosen waves coupled to a massless scalar field

Sebastian Szybka (joint work with Michał Wyrębowski)

Obserwatorium Astronomiczne
Uniwersytet Jagielloński

Centrum Kopernika Badań Interdyscyplinarnych



Introduction

- SJS, M. Wyrębowski, PRD 94, 024059 (2016)
- Exact solutions as a toy-models for studies of backreaction
- Standard averaging procedure (averaging of the metric)

$$A(g_U) = g^{(0)}$$

A — averaging operator

g_U — the metric describing spacetime with small scale inhomogeneities

$g^{(0)}$ — the effective metric

Natural assumptions on A :

- ① A is covariant
- ② A is unique
- ③ $A^2 = A$
- ④ ...

an example: Charach, Malin PRD 19:1058 (1979)

- Gowdy T^3 cosmology \longleftrightarrow Einstein-Rosen waves (almost 1 : 1)
- A trick to interpret new solutions

$$g_U = g^{(0)} + h$$

this split is coordinate dependent!

g_U — the metric describing spacetime with small scale inhomogeneities

$g^{(0)}$ — the effective metric

h — fast oscillating component of the metric g_U

Calculate $\hat{T} = \frac{1}{8\pi} G(g^{(0)})$

- the Charach, Malin miracle: \hat{T} has a nice interpretation (double null dust)

$$G(g_U) = 8\pi\alpha T$$

T — massless scalar field

$$\hat{T} = \alpha T^{(0)} + t^{(0)}$$

$T^{(0)}, t^{(0)}$ — null fluids

an example: Charach, Malin 1979

- Charach, Malin: an another way to calculate $T^{(0)}$: $T^{(0)} = \langle T \rangle$

Alternative procedure to study backreaction

- ▶ guess a simplified metric
- ▶ study how it violates Einstein equations assuming the knowledge of the original energy content

an example: Charach, Malin 1979

- Charach, Malin: an another way to calculate $T^{(0)}$: $T^{(0)} = \langle T \rangle$

Alternative procedure to study backreaction

- ▶ guess a simplified metric
- ▶ study how it violates Einstein equations assuming the knowledge of the original energy content
- Three frameworks to calculate $t^{(0)}$ (backreaction)
 - ① Charach, Malin paper
 - ② generalized Isaacson procedure
 - ③ Green and Wald framework
- Three frameworks (they differ at the technical level) give the same backreaction term

The G-W assumptions

(i)

There exists a one-parameter family $g_{ab}(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, satisfying

$$G_{ab}(g(\lambda)) = 8\pi T_{ab}(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where $T_{ab}(\lambda)t^a(\lambda)t^b(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all timelike $t^a(\lambda)$.

(ii)

There exists a smooth background metric

$$g_{ab}^{(0)} := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} g_{ab}(\lambda)$$

$$h_{ab}(\lambda) := g_{ab}(\lambda) - g_{ab}^{(0)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

The G-W assumptions

(iii)

Derivatives $\nabla_a h_{bc}(\lambda)$ are *bounded* in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ (do not necessarily go to zero).

No assumptions about second derivatives – can be unbounded.

(iv)

There exists a smooth tensor field

$$\mu_{abcdef} := \text{w-lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [\nabla_a h_{cd}(\lambda) \nabla_b h_{ef}(\lambda)] .$$

The weak limit

We say that a one-parameter family of smooth tensor fields $A_{a_1 \dots a_n}(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ converges *weakly* to $B_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$B_{a_1 \dots a_n} = \text{w-lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} A_{a_1 \dots a_n}(\lambda),$$

if for all smooth $f^{a_1 \dots a_n}$ of compact support, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int f^{a_1 \dots a_n} A_{a_1 \dots a_n}(\lambda) = \int f^{a_1 \dots a_n} B_{a_1 \dots a_n}.$$

Equation satisfied by the background metric

$$G_{ab}(g^{(0)}) = 8\pi T_{ab}^{(0)} + 8\pi t_{ab}^{(0)}.$$

where

- $T_{ab}^{(0)} := \text{w-lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} (T_{ab}(\lambda))$ – the 'averaged matter energy-momentum tensor'
- $t_{ab}^{(0)}$ – the 'effective gravitational energy-momentum tensor', contribution from nonlinear (second order in $h(\lambda)$) terms in the Einstein tensor:

The effective energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi t_{ab}^{(0)} = & \frac{1}{8} \left(-\mu^c{}_{c}{}^{de}{}_{de} - \mu^c{}_{c}{}^{d}{}_{d}{}^{e}{}_{e} + 2\mu^{cd}{}_{c}{}^{e}{}_{de} \right) g_{ab}^{(0)} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{cd}{}_{acbd} - \frac{1}{2}\mu^c{}_{ca}{}^{d}{}_{bd} + \frac{1}{4}\mu_{ab}{}^{cd}{}_{cd} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\mu^c{}_{(ab)c}{}^{d}{}_{d} + \frac{3}{4}\mu^c{}_{cab}{}^{d}{}_{d} - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{cd}{}_{abcd} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Green and Wald, 2011)

$t_{ab}^{(0)}$ is traceless and satisfies the weak energy condition.

The Einstein-Rosen metric

The line element has the form (Einstein, Rosen 1937; Rosen 1954)

$$g = e^{2(\gamma-\psi)} (-dt^2 + d\rho^2) + \rho^2 e^{-2\psi} d\varphi^2 + e^{2\psi} dz^2,$$

where (cylindrical symmetry)

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &> 0, & -\infty < t, z < \infty, & 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, \\ \psi &= \psi(t, \rho), & \gamma &= \gamma(t, \rho).\end{aligned}$$

Include massless minimally coupled scalar field ϕ to obtain nonvacuum solutions.

The Einstein-Rosen-scalar field solution

Field equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'' + \frac{1}{\rho} \psi' - \ddot{\psi} &= 0, \\ \gamma' &= \rho \left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \phi'^2 + \dot{\psi}^2 + \psi'^2 \right), \\ \dot{\gamma} &= 2\rho \left(\dot{\phi}\phi' + \dot{\psi}\psi' \right), \\ \phi'' + \frac{1}{\rho} \phi' - \ddot{\phi} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The energy density of the scalar field as measured by observers comoving with the coordinate system (with four-velocity $u = e^{\psi-\gamma} \partial_t$)

$$\epsilon = T_{ab} u^a u^b = \frac{1}{8\pi} e^{2(\psi-\gamma)} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \phi'^2 \right).$$

One-parameter family of solutions

We choose the following particular solutions of the field equations:

$$\phi_\lambda(t, \rho) = \alpha\sqrt{\lambda} F_\lambda(t, \rho), \quad \psi_\lambda(t, \rho) = \beta\sqrt{\lambda} F_\lambda(t, \rho), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where: $F_\lambda(t, \rho) = J_0\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) \sin\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)$; λ – parameter; J_0 – Bessel function of the first kind and zero order; constants α, β – real and independent of λ .

Integrating the remaining field equations we get

$$\gamma_\lambda(t, \rho) = \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}{2\lambda} \rho^2 \left[J_0^2\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) + J_1^2\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) - 2\frac{\lambda}{\rho} J_0\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) J_1\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \right].$$

This gives $g(\lambda)$.

One-parameter family of solutions

Let $A_\lambda(t, \rho) = J_0\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)$ and $B_\lambda(t, \rho) = J_1\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) \sin\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)$. Then for $\lambda > 0$ the nonzero components of $T_{ab}(\lambda)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} T_{tt}(\lambda) &= T_{\rho\rho}(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha^2}{8\pi\lambda} (A_\lambda^2 + B_\lambda^2), \\ T_{t\rho}(\lambda) &= T_{\rho t}(\lambda) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi\lambda} A_\lambda B_\lambda, \\ T_{\varphi\varphi}(\lambda) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{8\pi\lambda} e^{-2\gamma_\lambda} \rho^2 (A_\lambda^2 - B_\lambda^2), \\ T_{zz}(\lambda) &= T_{\varphi\varphi}(\lambda) \rho^{-2} e^{4\beta\sqrt{\lambda}F_\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\epsilon(\lambda) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda} e^{2(\beta\sqrt{\lambda}F_\lambda - \gamma_\lambda)} (A_\lambda^2 + B_\lambda^2).$$

Note the nontrivial behavior in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

The background metric

For $\rho/\lambda \gg 1$:

$$J_n\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \left[\cos\left(\rho/\lambda - \frac{\pi}{2}n - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O\left(\frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right) \right].$$

Using this we find the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$:

$$\psi_\lambda \rightarrow 0, \quad \gamma_\lambda \rightarrow (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\rho/\pi, \quad \phi_\lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$g^{(0)} = e^{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\rho/\pi} (-dt^2 + d\rho^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2 + dz^2.$$

The limiting functions do not satisfy one of the field equations, hence $g^{(0)}$ does not belong to the described class of solutions.

The background metric

The nonzero components of $G_{ab}(g^{(0)})$ are

$$G_{tt}(g^{(0)}) = G_{\rho\rho}(g^{(0)}) = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\pi\rho}.$$

In the weak limit the nonzero components of the scalar field energy-momentum tensor are

$$T_{tt}^{(0)} = T_{\rho\rho}^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{8\pi^2\rho}.$$

So, the effective energy-momentum tensor can be readily calculated:

$$t_{ab}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{8\pi} G_{ab}(g^{(0)}) - T_{ab}^{(0)}.$$

The μ tensor

$$\mu_{ttttt} = \mu_{tt\rho\rho\rho\rho} = -\mu_{ttt\rho\rho\rho}$$

$$= \mu_{\rho\rho tttt} = \mu_{\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho} = -\mu_{\rho\rho tt\rho\rho} = \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \beta^2 \rho^{-1} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 \right] e^{4(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\rho/\pi},$$

$$\mu_{tt\varphi\varphi\varphi\varphi} = \mu_{\rho\rho\varphi\varphi\varphi\varphi} = \frac{2}{\pi} \beta^2 \rho^3,$$

$$\mu_{ttzzzz} = \mu_{\rho\rhozzzz} = \frac{2}{\pi} \beta^2 \rho^{-1},$$

$$\mu_{tt\rho\rho\varphi\varphi} = -\mu_{tttt\varphi\varphi} = \mu_{\rho\rho\rho\rho\varphi\varphi} = -\mu_{\rho\rho tt\varphi\varphi} = \frac{2}{\pi} \beta^2 \rho e^{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\rho/\pi},$$

$$\mu_{tt\rho\rho zz} = -\mu_{ttttzz} = \mu_{\rho\rho\rho\rho zz} = -\mu_{\rho\rho ttzz} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \beta^2 \rho^{-1} e^{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\rho/\pi},$$

$$\mu_{tt\varphi\varphi zz} = \mu_{\rho\rho\varphi\varphi zz} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \beta^2 \rho.$$

All other components follow from $\mu_{abcdef} = \mu_{(ab)(cd)(ef)} = \mu_{abefcd}$ or vanish.

The effective energy-momentum tensor

Nonzero components:

$$t_{tt}^{(0)} = t_{\rho\rho}^{(0)} = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi^2\rho}.$$

Properties:

- $g(\lambda)$ satisfies all GW assumptions
- $t^{(0)}$ satisfies all GW theorems (traceless, WEC)
- Inhomogeneities of the scalar field do not contribute in the leading order to the backreaction effect (no dependence on α).
- For the chosen subclass of solutions $t^{(0)}$ is unique
(path independent, as in all remaining known examples)